

Predicates

$x + 2 = 5$ — not a proposition on its own;
depends on x .

we can define e.g.

$$\underline{P(x)} = \underline{"x + 2 = 5"}$$

— predicate that takes
 x as input and results in
a proposition.

e.g. $P(2)$ — false proposition $2 + 2 = 5$

$P(3)$ — true proposition $3 + 2 = 5$.

Universal Quantifier

Defn If $P(x)$ is a predicate and D is some "domain"
(ie. collection of values, or a type), then

$$\forall x : D. P(x)$$

(pronounced "for all x in D , $P(x)$ ") is a proposition which
is true iff $P(x)$ is true for every x in the domain D .

(Note: sometimes you see $\forall x \in D. P(x)$)

Ex.

• $\forall n : \mathbb{N}. P(n)$

$P(n) = "n + 2 = 5"$

F

• $\forall n : \mathbb{N}. n \geq 0$

T

• $\forall x : \mathbb{Z}. x \geq 0$

F

• $\forall x : \mathbb{Z}. x^2 \geq 0$

T

Can be helpful to think of $\forall$ as a big AND:

$$\forall x:D. P(x) \equiv P(x_1) \wedge P(x_2) \wedge P(x_3) \wedge \dots$$

Ex. $H = \text{"Hendrix students who are over 11 feet tall"}$

$$\forall h:H. h \text{ plays varsity pickleball.}$$

Strange because H is empty! What should $\forall$ mean with an empty domain?

True!

- No counterexamples! So if it's not false... it should be true.
- If $\forall$ is like a big $\wedge$, what should you get if you $\wedge$ together zero things? True, because that is the identity element for $\wedge$.

Existential Quantifier

Def'n If $P(x)$ is a predicate and D a domain, then

$$\exists x:D. P(x)$$

("there exists an x in D such that $P(x)$ ") is a proposition which is true iff there is at least one x in D such that $P(x)$ is true.

Ex. $\exists n:\mathbb{N}. P(n)$ $\top$ - $P(3)$ is true

$\exists x:\mathbb{Z}. x^2 = 16$ $\top$ - eg. 4.

$\exists x: \mathbb{Z}. x^2 = 17$ F — no integers square to 17.

$\forall x: \mathbb{N}. (\exists y: \mathbb{N}. (x < y^2))$