

Theorem 1 (Trees). Let G = (V, E) be a graph with $|V| = n \ge 1$. Any two of the following imply the third:

1. *G* is connected.

- 2. G is acyclic.
- 3. G has n 1 edges.

Lemma 2. (1), (2) \implies (3). That is: let G = (V, E) be a graph with $|V| = n \ge 1$. If G is connected and acyclic, then G has n - 1 edges.

Proof. Let P(n) denote the statement "Any graph *G* with *n* vertices which is connected and acyclic must have n - 1 edges." We wish to show that P(n) holds for all $n \ge 1$.

The proof is by induction on *n*.

- The base case is when *n* = 1. In this case, *G* is just a single vertex, so it is indeed connected, acyclic, and has 0 edges.
- For the induction step, suppose *P*(*k*) holds for some *k* ≥ 1. That is, suppose that any graph with *k* vertices which is connected and acyclic must have *k* − 1 edges. Then we wish to show *P*(*k* + 1), that is, any graph with *k* + 1 vertices which is connected and acyclic must have *k* edges.

So, let *G* be a graph with k + 1 vertices which is connected and acyclic. We claim that *G* must have some vertex which is a leaf, that is, a vertex of degree 1, which we can show as follows:

- *G* cannot have any vertices of degree 0, because it is connected (and has at least two vertices).
- It also cannot be the case that every vertex of *G* has degree ≥ 2 . If they did, then we could find a cycle by starting at any vertex and walking along edges randomly until encountering a repeated vertex; we would never get stuck because every vertex has degree ≥ 2 , that is, if we come in along one edge there must always be a different edge along which we can leave. However, this is impossible because we assumed *G* is acyclic.

Hence, *G* must have some vertex which is a leaf. If we delete this vertex along with the edge adjacent to it, it results in a graph *G*' with only *k* vertices; we note that *G*' is still connected (because *G* was connected and we deleted a leaf) and also acyclic (because deleting something from an acyclic graph cannot create a cycle). Hence we may apply the inductive hypothesis to conclude that *G*' has k - 1 edges. Adding the deleted vertex and edge back to *G*' shows that *G* has *k* edges, which is what we wanted to show.

Lemma 3. (2), (3) \implies (1), that is, any acyclic graph with n vertices and n-1 edges must be connected.

Proof. This proof uses a *counting argument*: we will show what we wish to show by counting things in multiple ways.

Let *c* denote the number of connected components of *G*. We want to show that c = 1.

Number the components of *G* from $1 \dots c$, and say that component *i* has n_i vertices. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{c} n_i = n$$

because adding up the number of vertices in each component gives the total number of vertices. Each connected component is by definition a connected graph; each component must also be acyclic since we assumed that *G* is acyclic. Hence we may apply Lemma 2 to conclude that component *i* has $n_i - 1$ edges. Adding these up, the total number of edges in *G* is

$$|E| = \sum_{i=1}^{c} (n_i - 1) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{c} n_i\right) - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{c} 1\right) = n - c.$$

But we already assumed the number of edges in *G* is n - 1, and hence c = 1 as desired.



© 2022 Brent A. Yorgey. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.