*Model 1: A theorem about trees*

**Theorem 1** (Trees). Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a graph with  $|V| = n \ge 1$ . Any two of the following imply the *third:*

- *1. G is connected.*
- *2. G is acyclic.*
- *3. G has n* − 1 *edges.*

<span id="page-0-0"></span>**Lemma 2.** (1), (2)  $\implies$  (3)*. That is: let*  $G = (V, E)$  *be a graph with*  $|V| = n \geq 1$ *. If G is connected and acyclic, then G has n* − 1 *edges.* 

*Proof.* Let  $P(n)$  denote the statement "Any graph *G* with *n* vertices which is connected and acyclic must have *n* − 1 edges." We wish to show that *P*(*n*) holds for all  $n \geq 1$ .

The proof is by induction on *n*.

- The base case is when  $n = 1$ . In this case, *G* is just a single vertex, so it is indeed connected, acyclic, and has 0 edges.
- For the induction step, suppose  $P(k)$  holds for some  $k \geq 1$ . That is, suppose that any graph with *k* vertices which is connected and acyclic must have  $k - 1$  edges. Then we wish to show  $P(k + 1)$ , that is, any graph with  $k + 1$  vertices which is connected and acyclic must have *k* edges.

So, let *G* be a graph with  $k + 1$  vertices which is connected and acyclic. We claim that *G* must have some vertex which is a leaf, that is, a vertex of degree 1, which we can show as follows:

- **–** *G* cannot have any vertices of degree 0, because it is connected (and has at least two vertices).
- **–** It also cannot be the case that every vertex of *G* has degree  $\geq$  2. If they did, then we could find a cycle by starting at any vertex and walking along edges randomly until encountering a repeated vertex; we would never get stuck because every vertex has degree  $\geq 2$ , that is, if we come in along one edge there must always be a different edge along which we can leave. However, this is impossible because we assumed *G* is acyclic.

Hence, *G* must have some vertex which is a leaf. If we delete this vertex along with the edge adjacent to it, it results in a graph *G* ′ with only *k* vertices; we note that *G* ′ is still connected (because *G* was connected and we deleted a leaf) and also acyclic (because deleting something from an acyclic graph cannot create a cycle). Hence we may apply the inductive hypothesis to conclude that *G*<sup>'</sup> has *k* − 1 edges. Adding the deleted vertex and edge back to *G* ′ shows that *G* has *k* edges, which is what we wanted to show.

 $\Box$ 

 $\Box$ 

**Lemma 3.** (2), (3)  $\implies$  (1), that is, any acyclic graph with n vertices and *n* − 1 *edges must be connected.*

*Proof.* This proof uses a *counting argument*: we will show what we wish to show by counting things in multiple ways.

Let *c* denote the number of connected components of *G*. We want to show that  $c = 1$ .

Number the components of *G* from 1 . . . *c*, and say that component  $i$  has  $n_i$  vertices. Then

$$
\sum_{i=1}^c n_i = n
$$

because adding up the number of vertices in each component gives the total number of vertices. Each connected component is by definition a connected graph; each component must also be acyclic since we assumed that *G* is acyclic. Hence we may apply Lemma [2](#page-0-0) to conclude that component *i* has  $n_i - 1$  edges. Adding these up, the total number of edges in *G* is

$$
|E| = \sum_{i=1}^{c} (n_i - 1) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{c} n_i\right) - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{c} 1\right) = n - c.
$$

But we already assumed the number of edges in *G* is *n* − 1, and hence  $c = 1$  as desired.

<u>ෙ</u>

© 2022 Brent A. Yorgey. This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) 4.0 International License.