

# CSCI 365 Problem Set 10: Lambda Calculus

Due Friday, 19 April 2024

---

## Specification

To receive credit for this problem set:

- Complete at least 9 out of 13 exercises.
- Submit a text file with the definitions of your lambda calculus terms, in a format suitable for loading into the lambda calculus evaluator (*i.e.* the output of running `save filename.lc` from within the lambda calculus evaluator).
- Optionally, submit a PDF with your response to exercise 13.

## Instructions

While working on this problem set you should use the command-line  $\lambda$ -calculus interpreter, which is available from the course website. Download and unzip, then execute `stack run` at a command prompt from within the unzipped directory. Please try it early and let me know if you have trouble getting it installed!

Note that files `bool.lc` and `nat.lc` are available with some of the definitions for Booleans and natural numbers that we went over in class.

If you wish to typeset any lambda calculus terms in L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X (though this is not required), you can use the following commands:

```
\newcommand{\lam}[2]{\ensuremath{\lambda #1. \, #2}}
\newcommand{\app}[2]{\ensuremath{#1 \ ; #2}}
```

For example,

```
\lam{x}{\lam{y}{\app{x}{y}}}
```

produces

$$\lambda x. \lambda y. x y.$$

These commands ensure proper spacing after the period of a  $\lambda$  and between terms in an application.

## Natural numbers

Recall from lecture that we can represent natural numbers in the  $\lambda$ -calculus by their *Church encoding*, that is, the natural number  $n$  is represented by the  $\lambda$ -calculus term

$$\lambda s. \lambda z. s (s \dots (s z))$$

where the  $s$  is repeated  $n$  times. In other words, a natural number is *represented by its own fold*, that is, a function which takes as arguments a function  $s$  and starting value  $z$ , and applies  $s$  to  $z$  a certain number of times.

We will abbreviate Church-encoded natural numbers as  $n_\lambda$ . For example,

$$3_\lambda = \lambda s. \lambda z. s (s (s z)).$$

**Exercise 1** Define a  $\lambda$ -calculus term  $exp$  that exponentiates Church numerals, that is,

$$exp\ m_\lambda\ n_\lambda \equiv (m^n)_\lambda.$$

**Exercise 2** Define a  $\lambda$ -calculus term  $iszero$  that decides whether a Church numeral is zero. That is, when applied to a Church numeral, it should evaluate to an appropriate Church-encoded boolean.

**Exercise 3** Now define  $iseven$ , which tests whether a Church numeral is even.

### Church lists

**Exercise 4** Define  $\lambda$ -calculus terms  $nil$  and  $cons$  which represent the constructors for (Church-encoded) lists.

**Exercise 5** Define a  $\lambda$ -calculus term  $sum$  such that, for example,

$$sum\ (cons\ 3_\lambda\ (cons\ 1_\lambda\ (cons\ 4_\lambda\ nil))) \equiv 8_\lambda.$$

**Exercise 6** Define a  $\lambda$ -calculus term  $filter$  which works similarly to Haskell's standard `filter` function.

In order to test your natural number functions in the  $\lambda$ -calculus evaluator, you will want to evaluate things like, e.g., `plus two three S Z` instead of just `plus two three`. The reason is that reduction gets “stuck” when the outermost term constructor is a  $\lambda$ . In order to “fully reduce” a Church-encoded number like `plus two three`, you can apply it to some arguments, in this case, just two free variables `S` and `Z` to stand in for successor and zero.

Remember that we will encode lists as their own folds! You may find it helpful to write out the recipe in Haskell first.



*Church pairs and subtraction*

**Exercise 7** Define  $\lambda$ -calculus terms *pair*, *fst*, and *snd* such that

$$\text{fst } (\text{pair } x \ y) \equiv x$$

(and similarly for *snd*).

**Exercise 8** Define a  $\lambda$ -calculus term *pred* such that when  $n$  is positive, *pred* applied to  $n_\lambda$  is equivalent to  $(n - 1)_\lambda$  (*pred* applied to zero can just yield zero).

**Exercise 9** Now define a  $\lambda$ -calculus term *sub* that subtracts Church numerals (truncating at zero in the case of subtracting a larger number from a smaller).

**Exercise 10** Define a  $\lambda$ -calculus term *gte* that tests whether one Church numeral is greater than or equal to another. That is, for example,

$$\text{gte } 5_\lambda \ 4_\lambda \equiv \text{true}.$$

*Recursion and the Y combinator*

Watch this video lecture to learn about `fix` / the Y combinator, which allows us to encode arbitrary recursion in the  $\lambda$ -calculus: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tNhTu7uRKfY>

**Exercise 11** Using the Y combinator, define a  $\lambda$ -calculus term *factorial* which computes the factorial of any Church numeral.

**Exercise 12** Look up the *Ackermann function* and encode it as a  $\lambda$ -calculus term, using the Y combinator.



This problem is tricky! If you are stuck, feel free to ask me for a hint. Do not try to find hints online.



*Further Exploration*

**Exercise 13** Take a look at *An Unsolvable Problem of Elementary Number Theory* by Alonzo Church<sup>1</sup>, available from <https://www.jstor.org/stable/2371045>. This was not the very first paper to introduce the  $\lambda$ -calculus, but it is one of the first where the  $\lambda$ -calculus is more or less recognizable as we use it today.

<sup>1</sup> Alonzo Church. *American Journal of Mathematics*, Vol. 58, No. 2 (Apr., 1936), pp. 345–363

Skim through the beginning of the paper and *either*:

- Read section 1 and footnote 3, and explain the significance of footnote 3. (Note also that Turing’s paper introducing the Turing Machine—[https://www.cs.virginia.edu/~robins/Turing\\_Paper\\_1936.pdf](https://www.cs.virginia.edu/~robins/Turing_Paper_1936.pdf)—was published later in the same year, 1936.)
- *Or*, read section 2 and explain how Church’s notation and operations I and II correspond to things we have discussed in class. (Church’s operation III is called  $\eta$ -conversion, which we did not discuss.)

